IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)		
	Plaintiff,) 8:09MJ141)
	vs.) DETENTION ORDER
ΕN	IMANUEL ROJAS,	}
	Defendant.	}
A.	Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act on July 7, 2009, the Court orders the above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	
B.	 Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community. 	
C.	distribute methamphetan carries a minimum sen maximum of forty years (b) The offense is a crime o (c) The offense involves a n	and includes the following: ne offense charged: by to distribute and possess with intent to mine (Count I) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 tence of five years imprisonment and a imprisonment. f violence.
	may affect whet The defendant h X The defendant h The defendant h The defendant is The defendant it ties. Past conduct of The defendant h	appears to have a mental condition which ther the defendant will appear. The same in the area in the area. In the area in the area. In the area in the

8:09-mj-00141-TDT Doc # 34 Filed: 07/08/09 Page 2 of 3 - Page ID # 39

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2 Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence. (c) Other Factors: The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other: X (4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows: The nature of the charges in the Complaint and the defendant's criminal history. X (5) Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: X (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or Χ (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release. X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe: Χ (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more. (2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

weapon or device).

U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous

8:09-mj-00141-TDT Doc # 34 Filed: 07/08/09 Page 3 of 3 - Page ID # 40

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and

3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: July 8, 2009. BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge